Lecture # 23: Representation Learning

Instructor: Aditya Bhaskara Scribe: Carson Storm

CS 5966/6966: Theory of Machine Learning

April 7th, 2022

Abstract

1 NTK RECAP

For certain classes of functions, gradient descent can be reasoned about in terms of Kernel regression with a time-varying kernel, and for a wide network, the kernel remains roughly "fixed".

2 Representation Theory Recap

2.1 Good Representations

What makes for a good representation? There is not a standard metric for a good representation, but some useful properties for representations are:

- **Contrastive:** For classification, inputs are clustered in "feature space" based on class.
- Orthogonal: Features are mostly disjoint from each other or "orthogonal."
- **Sparse:** Features are spares, *i.e.* there are not many important features.
- **Hierarchical organization:** Features identified at different layers are organized in a hierarchy.
- Domain Knowledge: Representation captures domain knowledge, i.e., model should have learned domain knowledge. For example a fluid simulation model should learn about gravity.

2.2 Supervised vs Unsupervised Representation Learning

Unsupervised learning is crucial if the goal is to multiple tasks with the same learned representation. Features give important insights into what an unsupervised model is learning.

3 Unsupervised Representation Learning

The classical approach to unsupervised representation learning is manual feature encoding, auto-encoders and sparse coding. The goal of Sparse Coding/Auto-encoders are to find a more succinct representation of inputs by finding common patterns in data. In other words is there a near "basis" for data in

Early worked sought representations that were "Auto-encoders", i.e., the representation encoded enough information to "approximately recover the input." which all of the data points are roughly sparse. Formally is there a "basis" $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for each input $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$x_i pprox \sum_j \alpha_j^{(i)} \cdot v_j$$

for some "sparse" $\alpha^{(i)}$ (at most k coordinates are non-zero). Want $kn+md\ll dn$.

The more modern approach is to use self-supervised learning, invariants and data augmentation. Self-supervised learning generates "pseudo-labels" from training data, then learns these labels. Then features are extracted from the network.

4 Representation Learning in NLP

Most approaches are based on Firth's hypothesis: the meaning of a word is defined by "the company it keeps." The idea is to look at n-grams sequences of words and ask for each n-gram word w_i , how frequently does it occur near some other word w_j ? We can then construct a matrix (α_{ij}) , where α_{ij} represents the frequency for which w_i occurs near w_j . If we then perform SVD on (α_{ij}) , to get

$$(\alpha_{ij}) = \underbrace{U}_{N \times k} \cdot \underbrace{V}_{K \times N}$$

then extract the embedding of a word from the factors to get $\alpha_{ij} \approx \langle u_i, v_j \rangle$.

5 Representation Learning in Graphs

Likewise for a graph, we can represent the graph as an adjacency matrix and the use SVD to factor the adjacency matrix. This can be useful when a graph represents relationships or similarities between nodes.