



THEORY OF MACHINE LEARNING

LECTURE 6

VC DIMENSION, FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM

LAST LECTURE

- Representative sample: for a hyp class H and distribution D over X , S is called "representative" if
for all $h \in H$, $|(\text{avg error on } S)(h) - \text{risk}_D(h)| \leq \epsilon$
- **Observation**. If training data happened to be a representative sample, ERM gives a hypothesis with good generalization.
(Question of ERM being efficient is orthogonal...)
- **Observation 2**. For a finite hypothesis class, a *random* sample of size $\sim \log |H|$ is representative
- Proof using "concentration" inequality (Chernoff/Hoeffding)

CONCENTRATION BOUND

- **Chernoff bound (Hoeffding).** Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are n iid samples from a distribution with mean μ and support $[a, b]$. Then we have

$$\Pr \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \dots + X_n) - \mu \right| > \epsilon \right] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{(a-b)^2} \right)$$

- Note: exponential dependence on n

FINITE CLASSES ARE LEARNABLE

- Claim: for any X and distribution D over it, a sample of size $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \log \frac{|H|}{\delta}\right)$ is representative with prob. at least $1 - \delta$
- Proof: write 'm' for the sample size
 - First look at a single $h \in H$
 - Prob. that $|\text{sample error}(h) - \text{risk}(h)| > \epsilon$ can be viewed as an application of Chernoff bound!
 - Gives a bound $2e^{-\epsilon^2 m} < \frac{\delta}{|H|}$
 - Union bound to prove that $\Pr[\text{diff} > \epsilon \text{ for some } h] < \delta$

WHAT ABOUT INFINITE CLASSES?

- Note: if sample is representative, we are good!
(modulo inefficiency of ERM)
- What if we can divide hypotheses into finitely many “classes”?
- Example of threshold functions on a line

GROWTH FUNCTION OF A CLASS

- Maximum number of “possible classifications” of an input of size m

LEARNABILITY IN TERMS OF THE GROWTH FUNCTION

- Theorem: Suppose $\tau_H(m)$ is an upper bound on the total number of distinct “classifications” (or “sign patterns”) possible for any sample of size m . Then for any X, D , if we take a sample S of size m , we have, with prob. $1-\delta$,

$$\sup_{h \in H} |err(h, S) - err(h, D)| \leq \frac{4 + \sqrt{\log \tau_H(2m)}}{\delta \sqrt{2m}}$$

HOW TO BOUND GROWTH FUNCTION?

- Shattering.
- VC dimension.

SAUER-SHELAH LEMMA (VAPNIK-CHERVONENKIS)

- **Lemma.** Let H be a hypothesis class of finite VC dimension d . Then for every m , we have:

$$\tau_H(m) \leq \binom{m}{0} + \binom{m}{1} + \cdots + \binom{m}{d}$$

- Much better than exponential, for m large
- Proof by a clever inductive argument

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF (STAT) LEARNING THEORY

- Theorem: The following statements are *equivalent*:
 - Class H is PAC learnable
 - Class H is *agnostically* PAC learnable
 - Class H has finite VC dimension
- Implies that if H has infinite VC dimension, it is not PAC learnable! (same proof as no-free-lunch theorem)

LEARNABILITY IN TERMS OF THE GROWTH FUNCTION

- Theorem: Suppose $\tau_H(m)$ is an upper bound on the total number of distinct “classifications” (or “sign patterns”) possible for any sample of size m . Then for any X, D , if we take a sample S of size m , we have, with prob. $1-\delta$,

$$\sup_{h \in H} |err(h, S) - err(h, D)| \leq \frac{4 + \sqrt{\log \tau_H(2m)}}{\delta \sqrt{2m}}$$

OUTLINE – THE TWO SAMPLE TRICK

- Want to show that a random sample is ϵ -representative
- Take sample S , define event:
 $A = \Pr [\text{sample is not representative}]$
- Way to “test” if S is not representative?
 - “Cross validation”
- Define new event S, S'
- “Swapping”